

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
NASHVILLE DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

AARON W. CARDEN,

Defendant.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

Case No. 3:02-00068  
Chief Judge Haynes


**ORDER**

Before the Court are Defendant Aaron Carden's motion for clarification and immediate release and motion for jail credit/order to show cause (Docket Entry Nos. 45, 46).

As a matter of law, the Bureau of Prisons must initially decide any award of sentence credit. United States v. Wilson, 503 U.S. 329, 335-36 (1992). "[T]he district court cannot determine the amount of the [jail time] credit at sentencing." Id. at 335. Under 18 U.S.C. § 3585(b), Defendant must first exhaust his administrative remedies within the Bureau of Prisons, which has an administrative review of computation of sentence credits as set forth in 28 C.F.R. § 542.10-542.16. Id. Once Defendant exhausts his administrative remedies, any further challenge to any computation of a jail credit must be filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, United States v. Griffith, 89 F.3d 836 (table), 1996 WL 316504, \*2 (6th Cir. June 10, 1996); in the district where Defendant is incarcerated. Rumsfeld v. Padilla, 542 U.S. 426, 442 (2004). Accordingly, since Defendant is incarcerated in White Deer, Pennsylvania, Defendant should file any further challenge to jail credit with the United States District Court in the Middle District of Pennsylvania.

It is so **ORDERED**.

**ENTERED** this the 25<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2013.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM J. HAYNES, JR.  
Chief Judge  
United States District Court